UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

Wiley	Ė.	Bridgeman,
	Р	laintiff

VS

Case No. C-1-07-895 (Beckwith, J.; Hogan, M. J.)

Southern Ohio Correctional Institution, et. al.,

Defendants

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION and ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment and Summary Judgment (Doc. 14).

Plaintiff initiated this action with the filing of a complaint on December 7, 2007. (Doc. 7). On January 14, 2008, Plaintiff was granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* and the United States Marshal was ordered to serve a copy of the complaint and summons upon Defendant Copas as directed by Plaintiff. (Docs. 9, 11). On January 15, 2008, service upon Defendant Copas was attempted but the complaint and summons were returned unexecuted.¹ (Docs. 11, 12).

The procedure governing the entry of default and default judgment is set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, which provides in pertinent part:

a) Entry. When a party against whom a judgment for affirmative relief is sought has failed to plead or otherwise defend as provided by these rules and that fact is made to appear by affidavit or otherwise, the clerk shall enter the party's default.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(a).

Because Defendant Copas has not yet been served, Defendant is not in default and default judgment is not appropriate. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(a); Sandoval v. Bluegrass Regional Mental

Because Plaintiff failed to provide the first name of Defendant and there are more than one corrections officer with the surname "Copas," service upon Defendant could not be perfected. (See Doc. 12).

Health-Mental Retardation Board, No. 99-5018, 2000 WL 1257040 at * 5 (6th Cir. July 11, 2000); Dekoven v. Avengelical Press, No. 4:98-CV-43, 1999 WL 34794967 at *4 (W.D. Mich. May 12, 1999).

More importantly, it appears that Plaintiff has failed to serve a summons and copy of the complaint on Defendant. Proper service of process is required in order for this Court to obtain in personam jurisdiction over each defendant. O.J. Distrib., Inc. v. Hornell Brewing Co., Inc., 340 F.3d 345, 353 (6th Cir. 2003). Plaintiff bears the burden of exercising due diligence in perfecting service of process and of showing that proper service has been made. Habib v. General Motors Corp., 15 F.3d 72, 74-5 (6th Cir. 1994). See also Byrd v. Stone, 94 F.3d 217 (6th Cir. 1996). In the absence of a showing of good cause justifying the failure to timely serve the complaint, the Court may either dismiss the complaint without prejudice or direct that service of process be effected within a specified time. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). Rule 4(m) provides, in pertinent part, as follows:

If a service of the summons and complaint is not made upon a defendant within 120 days after the filing of the complaint, the court, upon motion or on its own initiative after notice to the plaintiff, shall dismiss the action without prejudice as to that defendant or direct that service be effected within a specified time; provided that if the plaintiff shows good cause for the failure, the court shall extend the time for service for an appropriate period.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m).

Accordingly, Plaintiff is hereby notified that the Court proposes to dismiss this action against Defendant without prejudice. *U.S. v. Gluklick*, 801 F.2d 834, 836-37 (6th Cir. 1986).

Therefore, Plaintiff is **ORDERED** to show cause, in writing, within twenty (20) days why the Complaint should not be dismissed against Defendant Copas for failure of service.

IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED THAT Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment (Doc. 14) be DENIED.

Data

Timothy S. Hogan

United States Magistrate Judge

NOTICE TO THE PARTIES REGARDING THE FILING OF OBJECTIONS TO THIS R&R

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), any party may serve and file specific, written objections to this Report & Recommendation ("R&R") within FIFTEEN (15) DAYS of the filing date of this R&R. That period may be extended further by the Court on timely motion by either side for an extension of time. All objections shall specify the portion(s) of the R&R objected to, and shall be accompanied by a memorandum of law in support of the objections. A party shall respond to an opponent's objections within TEN DAYS after being served with a copy of those objections. Failure to make objections in accordance with this procedure may forfeit rights on appeal. See Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); United States v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981).

J \SMfTHLE\prisoner1983\Bridgeman.default wpd

item 4 if Restricted Deliv Print your name and add so that we can return the Attach this card to the bor on the front if space p 1. Article Addressed to: Wiley E Bridge 143-810 SOCF POBOX 4560	e items 1, 2, and 3. Also con Restricted Delivery is desired r name and address on the r re can return the card to you is card to the back of the ma front if space permits. dressed to: Bridgeman	action (1) (1) (1) (2) (2) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4	Signature Received by (Print Is delivery address If YES, enter delive	ed Name)	☐ Agent ☐ Addressee C. Date of Delivery	
	x 45699 ville, 0H 4569	9	Service Type Certified Mail Registered Insured Mail Restricted Delivery	☐ C.O.D.	il eipt for Merchandise	
2. Article Nui (Transfer fi	mber rom service label)	7007 1490	0001 051	62 6769		
PS Form 38	M1 August 2001	Domestic Poture Posciet				

1:07cv 895 Doc.16